

DCJ HABEAS PRACTICE

DCJ Pending Habeas Cases 2014 - 2019



|--|

н

crime,	A petition that challenges a conviction, confinement or other must be filed at the Superior Court, 20 Park Street, Rocky court clerk.	
OTE:	Page 5 and Page 6 must be signed and notarized before	filing. Revised 2/15
	e held on something other than a criminal conviction (such a enile), the petition and two copies must be filed in the Judicia	
Othe	nmate No.: r No: A# e of Inmate:	FOR SUBMISSION TO SUPERIOR COURT AT:
	ommissioner of Correction	Address:
v. Go	ommissioner of Correction	Address.
1. De	etails of conviction(s) and sentence(s) now beir	ng served:
1a.	Date of Arrest:	
1b.	Location of Court:	
1c.	Sentencing Judge:	
1d.	Date(s) of sentencing: Sentence(s) (specify individual counts):	
	Concurrent or Consecutive: Total effective sentence:	
1f	Probation/Special Parole:	
П.	I Pleaded guilty. Date of plea: (or) I was convicted by: a jury a judge	
1g:	(or) I □ was convicted by: a jury □ a judge Total time in jail before sentencing:	(days)
1h.		ecial Public Defender (Assigned Counsel)
	Name(s) of your lawyer(s):	
2. Di	d you apply for sentence review? 🗌 Yes 🔲 N	0
My la	awyer was: A Public Defender Special P Privately retained (paid to represe	
	e of Lawyer:	
Nam		



REQUEST FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

(Counsel will not be appointed for claims under question 8)

I do not want an attorney to represent me in this claim.

Date signed

Signature of Petitioner

COURT REFERS PETITION



PUBLIC DEFENDER

Indigency investigation

Assignment of counsel

COUNSEL APPOINTED

(as of July 9, 2019)

Top 8 Assigned Counsel Firms

Number of Cases

•	Kirschbaum Law Group, LLC	155
•	Law Office of Christopher Duby, LLC	118
•	Pieszak-Miller & Brodeur, LLC	105
•	Ruane Attorneys	101
•	Law Office of Pat Brown	100
•	The Law Office of Jason C. Goddard, LLC	66
•	The Mortimer Law Firm, LLC	49
•	Peter Tsimbidaros	38

NEW HABEAS PETITIONS DCJ PROCEDURES

- Inmate's petition reviewed
- Petition referred to appropriate office

 OCSA Civil Litigation Bureau (8 attorneys)
 Fairfield State's Attorney's Office (1 attorney)
 New Haven State's Attorney's Office (2 attorneys)
 New London State's Attorney's Office
 Waterbury State's Attorney's Office (1¹/₃ attorneys)

NEW HABEAS PETITIONS DCJ PROCEDURES

- Transcripts ordered
- Court's file ordered
- State's attorney's file ordered
- Appellate materials, if any, ordered

• Six months after return date, parties called for a status conference (first Friday of the month)

	DOCKET NUMBER:	1	SUPERIOR COURT
			JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF TOLLAND
	V.		AT ROCKVILLE
	WARDEN		DATE:
		S - SCHEDULING	
		the following sche	dule shall enter in accordance with Practice
1)			to trial date) ed Petition will not be filed. In which case, the
2)	Return due: (30 days	from the date amended pe	tition filed)
3)	Reply due: (30 days f	rom the date return filed if	f special defenses raised)
4)	Certificate of Closed Pleadings no later defenses not raised in return)	r than:	(10 days from the date reply is or would be due if specie
5)	Any Motion for Summary Judgment, M be filed prior to:(S		or Motion filed pursuant to CGS §52-470 sha te of trial)
6)	Simultaneous Witness Lists due: : (Note: Parties are expected to provide written no their availability)		onths prior to the date of trial) esses at least a month in advance of the trial date to ensur
7)	Disclosure of Expert Witnesses: Petitic Respondent due:(Two week		_(Six months prior to the date of trial);
8)	Any requests for Audiovisual/telephon incarcerated out-of-state are due by :		
9)	Simultaneous Trial Briefs due: (Note: The filing of a pretrial brief is optiona	(2 wee l, unless otherwise order	eks prior to trial) red by the court.)
	Trial Date(s):	This case shall be o	claimed to the trial list.
10)	Construction Internet Construction Internet	ltered by filing a M	otion to Modify the Scheduling Order. Failur
The	omply with this order may subject the non	-complying party to	any and all sanction(s) deemed necessary and aw, including dismissal.
The to c	omply with this order may subject the non	-complying party to art and allowed by la	aw, including dismissal.
The to c With	omply with this order may subject the non appropriate by the Cou	-complying party to art and allowed by la	aw, including dismissal.
The to c With Petitic	omply with this order may subject the non appropriate by the Cou drawals and Stipulations: Will NOT be acc	a-complying party to art and allowed by la epted unless signed b	aw, including dismissal. ay counsel <u>and</u> the petitioner. SCHORD TLCLAIM

HABEAS PETITIONS DCJ PROCEDURES

Once amended petition filed:

- Review transcripts
- **Review investigation**
- Review materials from prior habeas cases
- Interview witnesses
- Research expert witness' proposed testimony
- Draft and file return
- Motions

HABEAS PETITIONS DCJ PROCEDURES

Pretrial brief Trial Post-Trial brief Appeal

HABEAS ABUSES

Ineffectiveness Hall of Mirrors

Repetitive Filings

- Kaddah convicted in 1996 (murder and attempted murder -- 2 victims)
- Appeal. *State v. Kaddah*, 250 Conn. 563 (1999)
- First habeas. *Kaddah v. Warden*, Docket No. CV01-0807618
- Second habeas. *Kaddah v. Warden*, Docket No. CV04-4429
- Appeal from second habeas, Kaddah v. Comm. of Correction, 105 Conn. App. 430 (2006)

- Third habeas. *Kaddah v. Warden*, Docket No. CV08-4002736
- Appeal from third habeas. *Kaddah v. Comm. of Correction*, 299 Conn. 129 (2010)
- Fourth habeas. Kaddah v. Comm. of Correction, Docket No. CV12-4004952

- Appeal from fourth habeas. *Kaddah v. Comm. Of Correction*, 324 Conn. 548 (2017) (remanding for new habeas trial)
- New trial on fourth habeas. *Kaddah v. Comm. Of Correction*, 324 Conn. 548 (2017) (new habeas trial)
- Appeal from new trial on fourth habeas. Kaddah v. Comm. of Correction, A.C. 42942 (pending)

In summary, the following lawyers or firms have represented Nabil Kaddah since 1994:

- 1. Attorney James Ruane
- 2. Attorney Glen Falk
- 3. Attorney Salvatore Adamo
- 4. Attorney Jodi Zils Gagne
- 5. Attorney Joseph Visone
- 6. Attorney Deren Manasevit
- 7. Kirschbaum Law Group
- 8. Law Office of Christopher Duby

- Petitioner can attack multiple layers of counsel
- For Kaddah to prevail, he would have to show:
 - Second habeas counsel ineffective and
 - First habeas counsel ineffective and
 - Trial counsel ineffective

Stanley v. Comm. of Correction 164 Conn. App. 244 (2016)

- Kevin Stanley convicted in 1991 of murder
- In his fourth habeas case, Stanley alleged that:

Third habeas counsel was ineffective *and* Second habeas counsel ineffective *and* First habeas counsel ineffective *and* Trial counsel ineffective

Stanley v. Comm. Of Correction 164 Conn. App. 244 (2016)

The Appellate Court notes, "the habeas court, *Oliver, J.,* aptly observed that 'this case is a prime example of the abuse of the habeas corpus process. . . . [I]t could not have been the intent of the legislature to allow cases of this nature to come before the court in what, so far, has shown to be an unending loop."

GENERAL STATUTES § 51-296

(a) In any criminal action, in any habeas corpus proceeding arising from a criminal matter, in any extradition proceeding . . . the court before which the matter is pending shall . . . designate a public defender, assistant public defender or deputy assistant public defender to represent such indigent defendant. . . .

REPETITIVE FILINGS

Practice Book § 23-29(3) James L. v. Comm. of Correction, 245 Conn. 132

Court may dismiss a petition if the ground was raised in a prior petition <u>and</u> the petition seeks different relief.

REPETITIVE FILINGS DIFFERENT GROUNDS

- 1. Ineffective assistance of trial counsel
- 2. Ineffective assistance of counsel at sentencing
- 3. Ineffective assistance of counsel regarding jail credits
- 4. Ineffective assistance of appellate counsel
- 5. Ineffective assistance of prior habeas counsel
- 6. Ineffective assistance of prior habeas appellate counsel
- 7. Prosecutorial impropriety
- 8. Actual innocence

EXAMPLES FROM OTHER STATES

"All grounds for relief from a criminal judgment . . . must be raised in a single post-conviction review action and any grounds not so raised are waived unless the Constitution of Maine or the Constitution of the United States otherwise requires or unless the court determines that the ground could not reasonably have been raised in an earlier action."

15 Maine Revised Statutes Ann. § 2128(5)

EXAMPLES FROM OTHER STATES

"All grounds for relief claimed by petitioner in a petition . . . must be asserted in the original or amended petition, and any grounds not so asserted are deemed waived unless the court on hearing a subsequent petition finds grounds for relief asserted therein which could not reasonably have been raised in the original or amended petition."

Oregon Revised Statutes Ann. § 138.550(3)

EXAMPLES FROM OTHER STATES

"All grounds for relief available to an applicant at the time he or she commences a proceeding under this chapter must be raised in his or her original, or a supplemental or amended, application."

Second proceeding available if "the court finds that in the interest of justice the applicant should be permitted to assert such a ground for relief."

Rhode Island Gen.Laws 1956, § 10-9.1-8

DOC Population

New Habeas Cases

FY17-18	9,516	668
FY16-17	10,568	748
FY15-16	11,468	824
FY14-15	12,170	949
	• • •	
FY00-01	14,230	631
FY99-00	14,364	536

As DOC population decreased by 33.75%, habeas filings increased by 24.6%

- FY17-18DOC Population9,516New Habeas Cases668
- FY17-18Connecticut population3,572,655New Civil Cases49,304

DOC population files habeas actions 508% more frequently than the general population files all other civil actions (divorce, malpractice, foreclosures, etc.)

New Habeas Cases

Habeas Petition Granted by Habeas Court (New Trial Ordered)

FY17-18	668	1
FY16-17	748	0
FY15-16	824	2
FY14-15	949	1
FY13-14	841	4
FY12-13	673	3
FY11-12	538	3

Additional Orders of Relief:

Habeas court orders resentencing (2001 – 2016)

8 cases

Habeas court orders kidnapping vacated (2011 – 2018)

13 cases